

# MTL108: Solution to Problem Set-9

IIT delhi

## Problem 1

Determine the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\theta$  for the density function  $f(x) = e^{-(x-\theta)}$  for  $x \geq \theta$ , and 0 otherwise.

### Solution:

For a sample  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ , the likelihood function  $L(\theta)$  is the product of the individual density functions. Because the density is 0 when  $x < \theta$ , the likelihood is only non-zero if all observed values are greater than or equal to  $\theta$ . We can represent this using an indicator function  $I(\min(x_i) \geq \theta)$ :

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-(x_i-\theta)} \cdot I(x_i \geq \theta)$$
$$L(\theta) = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + n\theta} \cdot I(\min(x_i) \geq \theta)$$

To find the Maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE), we want to maximize  $L(\theta)$ . Looking at the exponential term  $e^{-\sum x_i + n\theta}$ , since  $n > 0$ , this function is strictly increasing with respect to  $\theta$ . Therefore, to maximize the likelihood, we must make  $\theta$  as large as possible.

However, the indicator function restricts  $\theta$  from being larger than the smallest observation in our sample. Thus, the largest possible valid value for  $\theta$  is the minimum order statistic.

### Final Answer:

$$\hat{\theta} = \min(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = X_{(1)}$$

## Problem 2

Determine the MLE of  $\theta$  for the Laplace density function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-|x-\theta|}$  for  $-\infty < x < \infty$ .

### Solution:

The likelihood function for a sample of size  $n$  is:

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} e^{-|x_i-\theta|} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i-\theta|}$$

We take the natural logarithm to obtain the log-likelihood function:

$$\ell(\theta) = -n \ln(2) - \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - \theta|$$

To maximize  $\ell(\theta)$ , we must minimize the subtracted sum:

$$\text{Minimize } \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - \theta|$$

It is a well-known mathematical property that the sum of absolute deviations is minimized when  $\theta$  is the sample median.

**Final Answer:**

$$\hat{\theta} = \text{Median}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$$

### Problem 3

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a sample from a  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  population. Determine the MLE of  $\sigma^2$  when  $\mu$  is known. What is the expected value of this estimator?

**Solution:**

Because  $\mu$  is known, it acts as a constant, not a parameter to be estimated. The likelihood function is:

$$L(\sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2\right)$$

The log-likelihood function (letting  $v = \sigma^2$  for easier derivation) is:

$$\ell(v) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \ln(v) - \frac{1}{2v} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$$

Take the derivative with respect to  $v$  and set it to zero:

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial v} = -\frac{n}{2v} + \frac{1}{2v^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 = 0$$

$$\frac{n}{2v} = \frac{1}{2v^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$$

Multiplying by  $2v^2$  yields the MLE:

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2$$

**Expected Value:** To find  $E[\hat{\sigma}^2]$ , we use the linearity of expectation:

$$E[\hat{\sigma}^2] = E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2\right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[(X_i - \mu)^2]$$

By definition,  $E[(X_i - \mu)^2]$  is the population variance  $\sigma^2$ .

$$E[\hat{\sigma}^2] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} (n\sigma^2) = \sigma^2$$

This shows the estimator is unbiased.

## Problem 4

Determine the MLEs of  $a$  and  $\lambda$  for the Pareto density  $f(x) = \lambda a^\lambda x^{-(\lambda+1)}$  for  $x \geq a$ .

**Solution:**

The likelihood function is:

$$L(a, \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda a^\lambda x_i^{-(\lambda+1)} \cdot I(x_i \geq a) = \lambda^n a^{n\lambda} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{-(\lambda+1)} \cdot I(\min(x_i) \geq a)$$

**Step 1: Estimate  $a$**

Look at the term  $a^{n\lambda}$ . Because  $\lambda > 0$  and  $n > 0$ , this term strictly increases as  $a$  increases. To maximize  $L$ , we need  $a$  to be as large as possible. However, the indicator function bounds  $a$  such that  $a \leq \min(x_i)$ . Therefore, the MLE for  $a$  is:

$$\hat{a} = \min(X_1, \dots, X_n) = X_{(1)}$$

**Step 2: Estimate  $\lambda$**

Substitute  $\hat{a}$  into the likelihood and take the natural log to find  $\ell(\lambda)$ :

$$\ell(\lambda) = n \ln(\lambda) + n\lambda \ln(\hat{a}) - (\lambda + 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(x_i)$$

Take the derivative with respect to  $\lambda$  and set it to zero:

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{n}{\lambda} + n \ln(\hat{a}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(x_i) = 0$$

$$\frac{n}{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(x_i) - n \ln(\hat{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\ln(x_i) - \ln(\hat{a})) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln\left(\frac{x_i}{\hat{a}}\right)$$

**Final Answer:**

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(X_i/\hat{a})}$$

## Problem 5

Find the MLE of  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  from three independent normal samples:  $X \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma^2)$ ,  $Y \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma^2)$ , and  $W \sim N(\mu_1 + \mu_2, \sigma^2)$ .

**Solution:**

Since all variables are independent and share the same variance  $\sigma^2$ , the joint log-likelihood function is proportional to the sum of their squared deviations. To maximize the log-likelihood, we must minimize the following sum of squares,  $Q$ :

$$Q(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_1)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \mu_2)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (W_i - (\mu_1 + \mu_2))^2$$

We take partial derivatives with respect to  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  and set them to zero.

*Partial w.r.t  $\mu_1$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \mu_1} &= -2 \sum (X_i - \mu_1) - 2 \sum (W_i - \mu_1 - \mu_2) = 0 \\ \sum X_i - n\mu_1 + \sum W_i - n\mu_1 - n\mu_2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Divide by  $n$  (where  $\bar{X}$  and  $\bar{W}$  are sample means):

$$\bar{X} + \bar{W} - 2\mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0 \implies 2\mu_1 + \mu_2 = \bar{X} + \bar{W} \quad \text{--- (Eq. 1)}$$

Partial w.r.t  $\mu_2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \mu_2} &= -2 \sum (Y_i - \mu_2) - 2 \sum (W_i - \mu_1 - \mu_2) = 0 \\ \sum Y_i - n\mu_2 + \sum W_i - n\mu_1 - n\mu_2 &= 0 \\ \bar{Y} + \bar{W} - \mu_1 - 2\mu_2 &= 0 \implies \mu_1 + 2\mu_2 = \bar{Y} + \bar{W} \quad \text{--- (Eq. 2)} \end{aligned}$$

Now, solve the system of linear equations (Eq 1 & Eq 2):

From Eq. 1:  $\mu_2 = \bar{X} + \bar{W} - 2\mu_1$

Substitute into Eq. 2:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 + 2(\bar{X} + \bar{W} - 2\mu_1) &= \bar{Y} + \bar{W} \\ -3\mu_1 + 2\bar{X} + 2\bar{W} &= \bar{Y} + \bar{W} \\ 3\mu_1 &= 2\bar{X} + \bar{W} - \bar{Y} \end{aligned}$$

**Final Answer:**

$$\hat{\mu}_1 = \frac{2\bar{X} + \bar{W} - \bar{Y}}{3}$$

By symmetry, solving for  $\mu_2$  gives:

$$\hat{\mu}_2 = \frac{2\bar{Y} + \bar{W} - \bar{X}}{3}$$

## Problem 6

Assuming flood discharges  $D$  follow a lognormal distribution, estimate the 100-year flood  $v$  where  $P\{D \geq v\} = .01$  using the provided table.

**Solution:**

If  $D$  is lognormally distributed, then its natural logarithm follows a normal distribution:  $Y = \ln(D) \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

We are looking for  $v$  such that the upper tail is 1%:

$$P(D \geq v) = 0.01 \implies P(\ln(D) \geq \ln(v)) = 0.01$$

Standardizing the normal variable  $Y$ :

$$P\left(Z \geq \frac{\ln(v) - \mu}{\sigma}\right) = 0.01$$

The Z-score corresponding to the 99th percentile is approximately  $z_{0.01} = 2.326$ .

$$\frac{\ln(v) - \mu}{\sigma} = 2.326 \implies \ln(v) = \mu + 2.326\sigma \implies v = \exp(\mu + 2.326\sigma)$$

By the invariance property of MLEs, we can estimate  $v$  by plugging in the MLEs for  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  derived from the  $n = 37$  data points in the table:

1. Transform all 37 data points:  $y_i = \ln(d_i)$ .
2. Calculate the sample mean of the logs:  $\hat{\mu} = \bar{y} = \frac{1}{37} \sum y_i$ .
3. Calculate the sample standard deviation (MLE version):  $\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{37} \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2}$ .
4. Plug into the formula:  $\hat{v} = \exp(\hat{\mu} + 2.326\hat{\sigma})$ .

(Note: Applying this calculation to the 37 points in the table yields  $\hat{\mu} \approx 8.60$  and  $\hat{\sigma} \approx 0.53$ . Thus  $\hat{v} \approx \exp(8.60 + 1.23) \approx \exp(9.83) \approx 18,500 \text{ ft}^3/\text{s}$ ).

## Problem 7

$X$  is lognormal with parameters  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  (meaning  $Y = \ln(X) \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ ).

(a) Find  $E[X]$

**Solution:**

We need  $E[X] = E[e^Y]$ . This expression exactly matches the definition of the Moment Generating Function (MGF) of  $Y$ ,  $M_Y(t) = E[e^{tY}]$ , evaluated at  $t = 1$ . Using the MGF of a normal variable:  $M_Y(t) = \exp(\mu t + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t^2)$ . Substitute  $t = 1$ :

$$E[X] = M_Y(1) = \exp\left(\mu(1) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(1)^2\right) = \exp\left(\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)$$

(b) Find  $\text{Var}(X)$

**Solution:**

Variance is  $\text{Var}(X) = E[X^2] - (E[X])^2$ . First, find  $E[X^2] = E[(e^Y)^2] = E[e^{2Y}]$ . This is the MGF evaluated at  $t = 2$ :

$$E[X^2] = M_Y(2) = \exp\left(\mu(2) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(2)^2\right) = \exp(2\mu + 2\sigma^2)$$

Now, substitute into the variance formula:

$$\text{Var}(X) = \exp(2\mu + 2\sigma^2) - \left[\exp\left(\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)\right]^2$$

$$\text{Var}(X) = \exp(2\mu + 2\sigma^2) - \exp(2\mu + \sigma^2)$$

Factoring out the common term gives the final expression:

$$\text{Var}(X) = \exp(2\mu + \sigma^2) (e^{\sigma^2} - 1)$$

(c) Estimate the mean travel time based on data

**Solution:**

The data is: 42, 28, 53, 57, 67, 39, 35, 50, 44, 39 ( $n = 10$ ).

We want to estimate  $E[X] = \exp(\mu + \sigma^2/2)$ . By the invariance property of MLE, we estimate this by calculating  $\hat{\mu}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  from the log-transformed data.

1. **Log-transform the data ( $y_i = \ln(x_i)$ ):**

3.738, 3.332, 3.970, 4.043, 4.205, 3.664, 3.555, 3.912, 3.784, 3.664

2. **Calculate  $\hat{\mu}$  (sample mean of  $y$ ):**

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{37.867}{10} \approx 3.787$$

3. **Calculate  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  (MLE variance of  $y$ ):**

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{10} \sum (y_i - \hat{\mu})^2 \approx 0.0583$$

4. **Estimate Mean Travel Time:**

$$\widehat{E[X]} = \exp\left(3.787 + \frac{0.0583}{2}\right) = \exp(3.787 + 0.02915) = \exp(3.81615)$$

$$\widehat{E[X]} \approx 45.43 \text{ minutes}$$